

MR. S. PIRAVIPERUMAL PILLAI (*Commerce and Industry, South*): With reference to parts (c) and (d) the answer is, "these do not arise in view of the answer to part (b) above." But part (b) says "two buses of the Prince Company had not at first satisfied all the requirements regarding seating arrangements." So, I ask, with reference to part (c), why permission was granted to those buses which were not of the approved type?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): The answer states that alterations have been made later on.

MR. S. PIRAVIPERUMAL PILLAI (*Commerce and Industry, South*): Then, may I know, why license was granted when they did not satisfy the requirements in regard to seating arrangements?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): That was a small concession shown to them. In regard to the Pioneer Company also, a similar concession was shown in regard to G Permits.

MR. S. PIRAVIPERUMAL PILLAI (*Commerce and Industry, South*): What are the other considerations shown to them, please?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): The Police Commissioner gave permission to the Pioneer Company to drive their buses, for the convenience of the public and of the company, without 'G' permits for a time.

MR. S. PIRAVIPERUMAL PILLAI (*Commerce and Industry, South*): Am I to understand that the buses of the Prince Company have now satisfied all the requirements?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): Yes.

MR. S. PIRAVIPERUMAL PILLAI (*Commerce and Industry, South*): I challenge the statement.

Prohibition order against the publication of a pamphlet by Mr. M. N. Tampi.

224. **MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI** (*Chirayinkil*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether the District Magistrate of Quilon has issued an order to Mr. M. N. Tampi under the Police Regulation prohibiting the publication of a pamphlet called "മഹാജനീയുടെ സത്യാഗ്രഹ സാരം, അഥവാ ആധുനികരാഷ്ട്രീയനീതികൾ", and, if so, whether they will lay on the table a copy of the above pamphlet?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): The answer to the first part is in the affirmative and the second part in the negative.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): May I know why the second part to my question is answered in the negative?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): Government prohibited the publication and so they do not think it will be advisable to place it before the House.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): May I know, what is the difficulty in placing the pamphlet before the House?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): They do not consider it desirable.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): May I know whether the prohibition order is in force now?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): Yes.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): Is it a fact that the prohibition order once passed was withdrawn after a period?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): No, it was renewed for another fifteen days.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): May I know why the pamphlet is not placed before the House.

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): I have already answered that question.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: He has already answered that it is not desirable to place it before the House.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): Why was it found undesirable to place the matter before the House?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: That has been answered.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): To my question, why was the pamphlet, that was prohibited, not made available to the members of this House, the answer is, it was found undesirable to place it before the House? May I know, on what grounds, have they found it undesirable?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): It was a proscribed pamphlet.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): Is it because one Peishkar proscribed it, and therefore, the Government found it undesirable to place it before the House?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): The District Magistrate has issued an order prohibiting the publication of the pamphlet.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): May I know whether the order was considered on the merits by the Legislative Department of the Government?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): I have nothing to do with it.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): Sir, I want to pursue the matter further. Because it was found undesirable to place the matter before the House, I want to know whether it is because of the prohibition alone, or whether the Government are aware of the pamphlet and on the merits they decided not to place it?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: There is the order of the District Magistrate now in force. Is it open to the executive to set aside that order? If so, your question is pertinent.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): My point is—

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: There is the order of the Judicial Officer under the law. Is it open to the executive to set aside that order. If so, you can pursue it.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (Chirayinkil): The order of the District Magistrate was to the effect that a particular pamphlet was prohibited for 14 days. After that, it was circulated again. My question was sent when that order was not in force.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: He says that the order was renewed and the pamphlet was proscribed for a longer period.

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (Ag. Secretary to Government): The order has now been made absolute.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: The order has now been made absolute by Government.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (Chirayinkil): May I know whether the Government read the pamphlet, when this question was answered.

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (Ag. Secretary to Government): They made the order absolute after reading it.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI (Chirayinkil): That is, Government read the pamphlet and prohibited its publication, before answering this question. Am I to take it like that?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (Ag. Secretary to Government): Yes.

Tamil Pandits in the Colleges at Trivandrum.

225. MR. V. CHELLAM PILLAI (Tovala): Will the Government be pleased to state the number of Tamil Pandits employed in each of the three Colleges at Trivandrum, viz., H. H. The Maha Raja's College of Science, H. H. The Maha Raja's College of Arts and H. H. The Maha Raja's College for Women?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (Ag. Chief Secretary to Government):

- | | | |
|--|-----|---|
| 1. H. H. the Maha Rajah's College of Science | ... | 1 |
| 2. H. H. the Maha Rajah's College of Arts | ... | 1 |
| 3. H. H. the Maha Raja's College for Women | ... | 1 |

MR. V. CHELLAM PILLAI (Tovala): തമിഴ് പണ്ഡിതന്മാർ എത്രയുനിവേർസിറ്റിയോ ഗ്രന്ഥശാലയിൽ ഏതെങ്കിലും അഭിപ്രായം?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (Ag. Chief Secretary to Government): The number of Tamil Pandits was asked for and that has been given. What he asks now is a new question and so, I claim notice.

Temporary Additional Sirkar Vakil at Alleppey.

226. MR. R. RAMAN PILLAI (Kottayam): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether they have recently appointed a Temporary Additional Sirkar Vakil in the Alleppey District Court;

(b) if so, his name, examination qualifications, previous acting service, if any, and his service as a vakil;

(c) whether there are other gentlemen who had on previous occasions acted as Sirkar Vakils but who are at present (29-11-1105) out of service;

(d) if so, their names, examination qualifications, previous service as acting Sirkar Vakils and their total service as vakils; and